# Error Probability for M-ary Modulation using Hybrid Selection/Maximal-Ratio Combining in Rayleigh Fading

Moe Z. Win<sup>†</sup>, Senior Member, IEEE, George Chrisikos, Member, IEEE, and Jack H. Winters, Fellow, IEEE

Abstract— In this paper, we derive exact expressions for the symbol error probability (SEP) of hybrid selection/maximal-ratio combining wireless systems in multipath fading environments. We consider coherent detection of several types of M-ary modulation for the case of independent Rayleigh fading on each diversity branch with equal signal-to-noise ratio averaged over the fading. We use a virtual branch technique which transforms the ordered physical branches, which are necessarily dependent, into independent and identically distributed virtual branches thereby permitting the derivation of exact SEP expressions.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Hybrid selection/maximal-ratio combining (H-S/MRC) is a diversity combining scheme where L out of N diversity branches are selected and combined using maximal-ratio combining (MRC). This technique provides improved performance over L branch MRC when additional diversity is available. Recently, H-S/MRC has been considered as an efficient means to combat multipath-fading [1], [2], [3]. The bit error rate (BER) performance of a H-S/MRC with L = 2 and L = 3 out of N branches was analyzed in [1], and it was pointed out that "the expressions become extremely unwieldy" for L > 3. The average signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of H-S/MRC was derived in [2]. In [3], we introduced a "virtual branch" technique to succinctly derive the mean as well as the variance of the combiner output SNR of the H-S/MRC diversity system.

In this paper we extend [3] to derive analytical symbol error probability (SEP) expressions for several types of Mary modulation with H-S/MRC for any L and N under the assumption of independent Rayleigh fading on each diversity branch with equal SNR averaged over the fading. A general form of the conditional SEP as a linear combination of terms involving a finite range integral is utilized. The proposed problem is made analytically tractable by transforming the physical diversity branches into the "virtual branch" domain which results in a simple derivation of the SEP for arbitrary L and N. Selection combining (SC) and MRC are shown to be special cases of our results and agree

George Chrisikos is with Dot Wireless, Inc., 6825 Flanders Drive, San Diego, CA 92121-2905 USA (e-mail: gchrisikos@dotwireless.com).

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author.

0-7803-5538-5/99/\$10.00 © 1999 IEEE

with previously published literature [4]. Numerical results are illustrated for quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK) and 16-ary quadrature amplitude modulation (16-QAM). Finally, remarks and conclusions are presented.

#### II. DIVERSITY COMBINING ANALYSIS

#### A. Preliminaries

Let  $\gamma_i$  denote the instantaneous SNR of the  $i^{\underline{\text{th}}}$  diversity branch defined by

$$\gamma_i \triangleq \alpha_i^2 \frac{E_s}{N_{0i}} \,, \tag{1}$$

where  $E_s$  is the average symbol energy, and  $\alpha_i$  is the instantaneous fading amplitude and  $N_{0i}$  is the noise power spectral density of the  $i^{\underline{th}}$  branch. We model the  $\gamma_i$ 's as continuous random variables with probability density function (p.d.f.)  $f_{\gamma_i}(x)$  and mean  $\Gamma_i = \mathbb{E} \{\gamma_i\}$ .

Let us consider a H-S/MRC diversity combining system with instantaneous output SNR of the form

$$\gamma_{\rm S/MRC} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \gamma_{(i)} , \qquad (2)$$

where  $\gamma_{(i)}$  is the ordered  $\gamma_i$ , i.e.,  $\gamma_{(1)} > \gamma_{(2)} > \ldots > \gamma_{(N)}$ , N is the number of available diversity branches, and  $1 \leq L \leq N$ . Note that the possibility of at least two equal  $\gamma_{(i)}$  is excluded, since  $\gamma_{(i)} \neq \gamma_{(j)}$  almost surely for continuous random variables  $\gamma_i$ .<sup>1</sup>

For a Rayleigh fading channel, the p.d.f. of the  $\alpha_i$ 's is given by

$$f_{\alpha_i}(r) = \frac{2r}{\Omega_i} e^{-r^2/\Omega_i}, \qquad r \ge 0, \qquad (3)$$

where  $\Omega_i = \mathbb{E} \{\alpha_i^2\}$ , and the p.d.f. of the instantaneous branch SNR is given by

$$f_{\gamma_i}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma_i} e^{-\frac{x}{\Gamma_i}}, & 0 < x < \infty\\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$
(4)

where the mean SNR of the  $i^{\underline{th}}$  branch is  $\Gamma_i = \mathbb{E} \{\gamma_i\} = \mathbb{E} \{\alpha_i^2\} \frac{E_s}{N_{0i}} = \Omega_i \frac{E_s}{N_{0i}}$ . We assume that the  $\gamma_i$ 's are

<sup>1</sup>In our context, the notion of "almost sure" or "almost everywhere" can be stated mathematically as: if  $\mathcal{N} = \{\gamma_{(i)} = \gamma_{(j)}\}$ , then  $\Pr\{\mathcal{N}\} = 0$  [5], [6].

Moe Win and Jack H. Winters are with the Wireless Systems Research Department, Newman Springs Laboratory, AT&T Labs - Research, 100 Schulz Drive, Red Bank, NJ 07701-7033 USA (e-mail: win@research.att.com, jhw@research.att.com).

Modulation Scheme	K	$a_k( heta)$	$\phi_k( heta)$	$\Theta_k$
MPSK	1	$\frac{1}{\pi}$	$\sin^2\left(rac{\pi}{M} ight)\csc^2( heta)$	$\pi\left(1-rac{1}{M} ight)$
MQAM	2	$\frac{4}{\pi}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\right)$	$\frac{3}{2(M-1)}\csc^2(\theta)$	$\frac{\pi}{2}$
		$-rac{4}{\pi}\left(1-rac{1}{\sqrt{M}} ight)^2$	$\frac{3}{2(M-1)}\csc^2(\theta)$	$\frac{\pi}{4}$
МРАМ	1	$\frac{2}{\pi}\left(1-\frac{1}{M}\right)$	$\frac{3}{M^2-1}\csc^2(\theta)$	$\frac{\pi}{2}$
BFSK	1	$\frac{1}{\pi}$	$rac{1}{2}\csc^2( heta)$	$\frac{\pi}{2}$
BFSK <sub>min</sub>	1	$\frac{1}{\pi}$	$\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{2}{3\pi}\right)\csc^2(\theta)$	$\frac{\pi}{2}$
DE-BPSK	2	$\frac{2}{\pi}$	$\csc^2( heta)$	$\frac{\pi}{2}$
		$-\frac{2}{\pi}$	$\csc^2( heta)$	$\frac{\pi}{4}$
MSK	2	$\frac{2}{\pi}$	$\csc^2( heta)$	$\frac{\pi}{2}$
		$-\frac{1}{\pi}$	$\csc^2( heta)$	$\frac{\pi}{4}$

TABLE I Parameters for Specific Modulation Schemes.

independent with equal average SNR, i.e.,  $\Gamma_i = \Gamma$ for i = 1, ..., N, and thus  $f_{\gamma_i}(x) = f(x) \quad \forall i$ . Denoting  $\gamma_{(N)} \triangleq (\gamma_{(1)}, \gamma_{(2)}, ..., \gamma_{(N)})$ , the joint p.d.f. of  $\gamma_{(1)}, \gamma_{(2)}, ..., \gamma_{(N)}$  is [7]

$$f_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}(N)}(\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(i)}\}_{i=1}^{N}) =$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{N!}{\Gamma N} e^{-\frac{1}{\Gamma} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(m)}}, & \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(1)} > \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(2)} > \cdots > \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(N)} > 0\\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$
(5)

It is important to note that the  $\gamma_{(i)}$ 's are no longer independent, even though the underlying  $\gamma_i$ 's are independent.

#### B. Virtual Branch Technique: The Key Idea

The analysis of H-S/MRC based on a chosen ordering of the branches at first appears to be complicated, since the SNR statistics of the ordered branches are *not* independent. Here, we alleviate this problem by transforming the ordered-branch variables into a new set of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) *virtual branches*, i.e., express the ordered-branch SNR variables as a linear function of i.i.d. virtual branch SNR variables. The key advantage of this formulation is that it permits the combiner output SNR to be expressed in terms of these i.i.d. virtual branch SNR variables. In this framework, the derivation of the SEP of H-S/MRC, involving the evaluation of nested N-fold integrals, reduces to the evaluation of a single integral.

# C. SEP for M-ary Modulation with H-S/MRC

The SEP for H-S/MRC in a multipath-fading environment is obtained by averaging the conditional SEP over the channel ensemble. This can be accomplished by averaging  $\Pr \{e|\gamma_{S/MRC}\}$  over the p.d.f. of  $\gamma_{S/MRC}$  as

$$P_{e,S/MRC} = \mathbb{E}_{\gamma_{S/MRC}} \{ \Pr\{e|\gamma_{S/MRC}\} \}$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \Pr\{e|\gamma\} f_{\gamma_{S/MRC}}(\gamma) d\gamma, \qquad (6)$$

where  $\Pr \{e|\gamma_{S/MRC}\}$  is the conditional SEP, conditioned on the random variable  $\gamma_{S/MRC}$ , and  $f_{\gamma_{S/MRC}}(\cdot)$  is the p.d.f. of the combiner output SNR.

For a general class of modulation schemes, the conditional SEP can be expressed as a specific form of the following expression,

$$\Pr\left\{e|\gamma_{\text{S/MRC}}\right\} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{\Theta_{k}} a_{k}(\theta) \ e^{-\phi_{k}(\theta) \ \gamma_{\text{S/MRC}}} \ d\theta \,, \quad (7)$$

where  $a_k(\theta)$ ,  $\phi_k(\theta)$  and  $\Theta_k$  are parameters particular to the specific modulation format and are independent of the

instantaneous SNR,  $\gamma_{S/MRC}$ . Table I lists these parameters for some common coherent modulations: *M*-ary phase shift keying (MPSK), *M*-ary square quadrature amplitude modulation (MQAM) with  $M = 2^l$  and *l* even, *M*-ary pulse amplitude modulation (MPAM), binary frequency shift keying (BFSK), BFSK with minimum correlation (BFSK<sub>min</sub>), coherent detection of differentially encoded BPSK (DE-BPSK), and precoded minimum shift keying (MSK) [8], [9], [10].

Since MRC requires channel phase estimates, it is generally used in conjunction with coherent modulation schemes. If channel phase estimates are not available, then one may resort to diversity combining techniques such as postdetection equal gain combining (EGC) with noncoherent or differentially coherent modulation. Nevertheless, in situations that dictate predetection combining, the mathematical form given by (7) is general and valid for non-coherent modulation techniques as well as coherent. For example, with noncoherent differentially detected BPSK,  $a_1(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(\theta)$  and  $\phi_1(\theta) = 1$ , while noncoherent detection of BFSK has  $a_1(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(\theta)$  and  $\phi_1(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}$  as parameters.

Evaluating the SEP by substituting (7) into (6) involves a single integration to average over the channel ensemble. However it requires knowledge of the p.d.f. of  $\gamma_{S/MRC}$ . Alternatively, averaging over the channel ensemble can be accomplished using the technique of [11], [12], by substituting the expression for  $\gamma_{S/MRC}$  directly in terms of the physical branch variables given by (2) into (6), and together with (7) to give

$$P_{e,S/MRC} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{\Theta_{k}} a_{k}(\theta) \mathbb{E}_{\{\gamma_{(i)}\}} \Big\{ e^{-\phi_{k}(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^{L} \gamma_{(i)}} \Big\} d\theta$$
  
=  $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{\Theta_{k}} a_{k}(\theta) \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\gamma_{(1)}} \cdots \int_{0}^{\gamma_{(N-1)}} e^{-\phi_{k}(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^{L} \gamma_{(i)}}$   
 $\times f_{\gamma_{(N)}}(\{\gamma_{(i)}\}_{i=1}^{N}) d\gamma_{(N)} \cdots d\gamma_{(2)} d\gamma_{(1)} d\theta.$  (8)

Since the statistics of the ordered-branches are no longer independent, the evaluation of (8) involves nested N-fold integrals, which are in general cumbersome and complicated to compute. This can be alleviated by transforming the instantaneous SNR of the ordered diversity branches,  $\gamma_{(i)}$ , into a new set of *virtual branch* instantaneous SNR's,  $V_{n}$ , using the following relationship:

$$\gamma_{(i)} = \sum_{n=i}^{N} \frac{\Gamma}{n} V_n \,. \tag{9}$$

It can be verified that the instantaneous SNR's of the virtual branches are i.i.d. normalized exponential random variables with p.d.f.'s given by

$$f_{V_n}(v) = \begin{cases} e^{-v}, & 0 < v < \infty \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$
(10)

The characteristic function (c.f.) of  $V_n$  is given by the expression  $\psi_{V_n}(j\nu) \triangleq \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{+j\nu V_n}\right\} = 1/(1-j\nu)$ . The instantaneous SNR of the combiner output can now be expressed in terms of the instantaneous SNR of the virtual branches

as

$$\gamma_{\rm S/MRC} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n V_n \,, \tag{11}$$

where the coefficients  $b_n$  are given by

$$b_n = \begin{cases} \Gamma, & n \le L \\ \Gamma \frac{L}{n}, & \text{otherwise} . \end{cases}$$
(12)

Using the *independent* virtual branches, the N-fold nested integrals of (8) reduce to

$$P_{e,S/MRC} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{\Theta_{k}} a_{k}(\theta) \mathbb{E}_{\{V_{n}\}} \left\{ e^{-\phi_{k}(\theta) \sum_{n=1}^{N} b_{n}V_{n}} \right\} d\theta$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{\Theta_{k}} a_{k}(\theta) \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\phi_{k}(\theta) \sum_{n=1}^{N} b_{n}V_{n}}$$
$$\times \prod_{n=1}^{N} f_{V_{n}}(V_{n}) dV_{n} d\theta.$$
(13)

Exploiting the fact that the  $V_n$ 's are independent, (13) becomes:

$$P_{e,S/MRC} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{\Theta_{k}} a_{k}(\theta) \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{V_{n}} \left\{ e^{-\phi_{k}(\theta)b_{n}V_{n}} \right\} d\theta$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{\Theta_{k}} a_{k}(\theta) \prod_{n=1}^{N} \psi_{V_{n}} \left( -\phi_{k}(\theta)b_{n} \right) d\theta .$$
(14)

Substituting the c.f. of  $V_n$  into (14) gives the final form of the SEP as

$$P_{e,S/MRC} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{\Theta_{k}} a_{k}(\theta) \left[\frac{1}{1+\phi_{k}(\theta)\Gamma}\right]^{L} \\ \times \prod_{n=L+1}^{N} \left[\frac{1}{1+\phi_{k}(\theta)\Gamma\frac{L}{n}}\right] d\theta.$$
(15)

Thus the derivation of the SEP for coherent detection of M-ary modulation using H-S/MRC, involving the N-fold nested integrals in (8), reduces to a single integral over  $\theta$  with finite limits. The integrand is an N-fold product of a simple expression involving trigonometric functions. Note that the independence of the virtual branch variables plays a key role in simplifying the derivation.

# III. LIMITING CASES

#### A. Limiting Case 1: SC System

SC is the simplest form of diversity combining whereby the received signal from *one* of N diversity branches is selected [13]. The output SNR of SC is

$$\gamma_{\rm SC} = \max_{i} \left\{ \gamma_i \right\} = \gamma_{(1)} \,. \tag{16}$$

Note that SC is a limiting case of H-S/MRC with L = 1. Substituting L = 1 into (15), the SEP with SC becomes

$$P_{e,\mathrm{SC}} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{\Theta_{k}} a_{k}(\theta) \prod_{n=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \phi_{k}(\theta)\Gamma\frac{1}{n}} \right] d\theta.$$
(17)

### B. Limiting Case 2: MRC System

In MRC, the received signals from *all* diversity branches are weighted and combined to maximize the SNR at the combiner output [13]. The output SNR of MRC is

$$\gamma_{\rm MRC} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{(i)}.$$
 (18)

Note that MRC is a limiting case of H-S/MRC with L = N. Substituting L = N into (15), the SEP with MRC is

$$P_{e,MRC} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{\Theta_{k}} a_{k}(\theta) \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \phi_{k}(\theta)\Gamma} \right]^{N} d\theta.$$
(19)

#### IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we illustrate the SEP results derived for H-S/MRC. The notation H-L/N is used to denote H-S/MRC that selects and combines L out of N branches. Note that H-1/1 is a single branch receiver, and H-1/Nand H-N/N are N-branch SC and N-branch MRC, respectively.

Figure 1 shows the SEP for coherent detection of MPSK with M=4 (QPSK) versus the average SNR per branch for various L with N = 4. Note that SC and MRC upper and lower bound, respectively, the SEP for H-S/MRC. It is seen that most of the gain of H-S/MRC is achieved for small L, e.g., the SEP for H-S/MRC is within 1 dB of MRC when L = N/2.

Figure 2 shows the SEP for coherent detection of QPSK versus the average SNR per branch for various N with L = 2. Note that, although the incremental gain with each additional combined branch becomes smaller as N increases, the gain is still significant even with N = 8. Furthermore, for L = 2 at a  $10^{-3}$  SEP, H-S/MRC with N = 8 requires about 10 dB lower SNR than 2-branch MRC.

Similar results for coherent detection of MQAM with M=16 (16-QAM) are plotted in Figs. 3-4. These results show the same characteristics as QPSK illustrated in Figs. 1-2.

# V. CONCLUSIONS

We derived exact SEP expressions for coherent detection of several types of M-ary modulation with H-S/MRC in multipath-fading wireless environments. A general expression was derived in terms of the parameters of the specific modulation schemes. With H-S/MRC, L out of N diversity branches are selected and combined using MRC. This technique provides improved performance over L branch MRC when additional diversity is available. We considered independent Rayleigh fading on each diversity branch with equal SNR's, averaged over the fading. We analyzed this system using a "virtual branch" technique which resulted in a simple derivation of the SEP for arbitrary L and N.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank J. G. Proakis, N. R. Sollenberger, G. J. Foschini, L. A. Shepp, N. C. Beaulieu, and P. F. Dahm for helpful discussions.

#### References

- Thomas Eng, Ning Kong, and Laurence B. Milstein, "Comparison of diversity combining techniques for Rayleigh-fading channels," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 44, no. 9, pp. 1117–1129, Sept. 1996.
- Ning Kong and Laurence B. Milstein, "Combined average SNR of a generalized diversity selection combining scheme," in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. on Commun., June 1998, vol. 3, pp. 1556–1560, Atlanta, GA.
   Moe Z. Win and Jack H. Winters, "Analysis of hybrid
- [3] Moe Z. Win and Jack H. Winters, "Analysis of hybrid selection/maximal-ratio combining in Rayleigh fading," in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. on Commun., June 1999, vol. 1, pp. 6-10, Vancouver, Canada.
- [4] Jack H. Winters, "Switched diversity with feedback for DPSK mobile radio systems," *IEEE Trans. on Vehicul. Technol.*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 134–150, Feb. 1983.
- [5] Al'bert Nikolaevich Shiryaev, Probability, Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1995.
- [6] Richard Durrett, Probability: Theory and Examples, Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole Publishing Company, Pacific Grove, California, first edition, 1991.
- [7] Peter J. Bickel and Kjell Doksum, Mathematical Statistics: Basic Ideas and Selected Topics, Holden-Day, Inc., Oakland, California, first edition, 1977.
- [8] A. Annamalai, C. Tellambura, and Vijay K. Bhargava, "A unified approach to performance evaluation of diversity systems on fading channels," in Wireless Multimedia Network Technologies, R. Ganesh and Z. Zvonar, Eds. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.
- [9] Marvin K. Simon, Sami M. Hinedi, and William C. Lindsey, Digital Communication Techniques: Signal Design and Detection, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632, first edition, 1995.
- [10] John G. Proakis, Digital Communications, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, NY, 10020, third edition, 1995.
- [11] Marvin K. Simon and Dariush Divsalar, "Some new twists to problems involving the Gaussian probability integral," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 200-210, Feb. 1998.
  [12] Mohamed-Slim Alouini and Andrea Goldsmith, "A unified ap-
- [12] Mohamed-Slim Alouini and Andrea Goldsmith, "A unified approach for calculating error rates of linearly modulated signals over generalized fading channels," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. on Commun.*, June 1998, vol. 1, pp. 459-463, Atlanta, GA.
- [13] William C. Jakes, Ed., Microwave Mobile Communications, IEEE Press, Piscataway, New Jersey, 08855-1331, IEEE press classic reissue edition, 1995.



Fig. 1. The symbol error probability for coherent detection of QPSK with H-S/MRC as a function of the average SNR per branch in dB for various L with N = 4. The curves are parameterized by different L starting from the upper curve representing H-1/4, to the lowest curve representing H-4/4.



Fig. 3. The symbol error probability for coherent detection of 16-QAM with H-S/MRC as a function of the average SNR per branch in dB for various L with N = 8. The curves are parameterized by different L starting from the upper curve representing H-1/8, to the lowest curve representing H-8/8.



Fig. 2. The symbol error probability for coherent detection of QPSK with H-S/MRC as a function of the average SNR per branch in dB for various N with L = 2. The curves are parameterized by different N starting from the upper curve representing H-2/2, to the lowest curve representing H-2/8.



Fig. 4. The symbol error probability for coherent detection of 16-QAM with H-S/MRC as a function of the average SNR per branch in dB for various N with L = 4. The curves are parameterized by different N starting from the upper curve representing H-4/4, to the lowest curve representing H-4/8.